

Fiscal Note 2017 Biennium

iil #	SB0061	Title: C	Clarify courts' punitive authority			
rimary Spon	sor: Driscoll, Robyn		Status: A	Status: As Introduced		
☐ Signifi	cant Local Gov Impact		Needs to be included in HB 2		Technical Concerns	

FISCAL SUMMARY

Expenditures:	FY 2016 Difference	FY 2017 <u>Difference</u>	FY 2018 <u>Difference</u>	FY 2019 Difference
General Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Revenue: General Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Net Impact-General Fund Balance:	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

<u>Description of fiscal impact:</u> SB 61 may have a minor impact on caseload of the Office of Public Defender and the Judicial Branch.

FISCAL ANALYSIS

Assumptions:

1. This bill clarifies a court's punitive authority related to the nonpayment of fines, costs, or other amounts; provides for a show cause hearing for nonpayment; provides for civil contempt with the possibility of incarceration for nonpayment; and provides for the right to counsel.

Judicial Branch

2. The number of district court cases and or hearings may increase as a result of this legislation; however, the Judicial Branch is unable to estimate the impact on judicial workload or the fiscal impact. The cumulative impact of such legislation may eventually require additional judicial resources because court dockets currently are full in many judicial districts throughout the state.

Office of Public Defender (OPD)

- 3. The OPD has the statutory obligation to represent individuals charged with a crime who cannot afford to hire counsel, represent individuals on direct appeal, and also to provide counsel if there is a conflict of interest.
- 4. SB 61 may result in a minor increase in new cases however OPD is unable to quantify the fiscal impact.

Sponsor's Initials

SB0061_01.docx 12/26/2014 Date

Budget Director's Initials

Date